

Inserted by Jenny Cutraro, Precinct 11

**From:** Diane Krause

**Sent:** Monday, March 30, 2026 11:40 AM

**To:** Kin Lau

**Subject:** I support Articles 53 & 55 before the ARB tonight

Article 53 clarifies that the commercial use bonus requires 60% of the full ground floor to be considered eligible to build more than 4 stories allowed by right.

I believe that “60% of the ground floor” is to be interpreted as 60% of the total ground-floor square footage at street level—consistent with how the language was drafted by the MBTA Act Committee, and then presented to the full ARB, and then debated and approved by Town Meeting as is. I strongly support upholding our bylaws as written and respecting the extensive public process behind th

Article 55 safeguards the Boosted Affordability bonus. This should also be upheld as written, not open to interpretation.

I strongly urge you to support Articles 53 and 55.

Thank you.

Diane Krause

High Haith Rd.

Inserted by Jenny Cutraro, precinct 11

**From:** Belinda Chu

**Sent:** Monday, March 30, 2026 11:47 AM

**To:** Rachel Zsembery; Stephen Revilak; Kin Lau; Shaina Korman-Houston; Vincent Baudoin

**Cc:** Claire Ricker; Jennifer Joslyn-Siemiatkoski

**Subject:** Support for Articles 53 and 55

To ARB members,

I am writing to state my support for Article 53, which seeks to affirm the original meaning of the bylaw by defining ground floor, per state definitions, as “everything under the horizontal projection of the roof or floors above.”

Arbitrary reinterpretation of the bylaw undermines the original intent of the bylaw, fosters distrust of the efficacy of the town's governing process, and does a disservice to Arlington residents. Allowing developers to use a diluted interpretation of the Commercial Use bonus would have a significant detrimental effect to the Town of Arlington.

Belinda Chu

88 Broadway

Submitted by Jenny Cutraro, pct 11

**From:** Carol Luddecke

**Sent:** Monday, November 17, 2025 12:19:25 PM

**To:** Rachel Zsembery; Stephen Revilak; Eugene Benson; Kin Lau; Shaina Korman-Houston; Claire Ricker

**Subject:**

Dear ARB members:

I don't have time to craft a great letter, since I know you may not be accepting input much longer, but just want to send a quick note to say I'm very concerned about the idea of allowing 0% setback for the project at 126 Broadway and that I'm hearing you're all inclined to bend the agreed-upon rules to give the developer what they want even though it shouldn't qualify using rules. Didn't we just agree to these new rules and aren't they already allowing more development than before? Why are you letting them push it even more where the proposal is clearly a stretch? I don't get it. This is a very bad precedent to do this on the first project.

Again, apologies for the imperfect letter, but hopefully you catch my drift. Please don't allow this.

--

*Carol Luddecke*

*Precinct 16*

*781-354-5913*